

SAINT LOUIS UNIVERSITY LAW JOURNAL

Vol. 53, No. 3

SPRING 2009

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TEACHING FEDERAL COURTS

ARTICLES

- WHY AND HOW TO TEACH
FEDERAL COURTS TODAY.....*Richard H. Fallon, Jr* 693
- TEACHING FEDERAL COURTS:
FEDERAL JUDGES AS
PROBLEM SOLVERS.....*Michael J. Gerhardt* 729
- WHY LAW STUDENTS SHOULD
TAKE THE FEDERAL
COURTS COURSE.....*Roger Goldman* 745
- ANOTHER VOICE FOR THE “DIALOGUE”:
FEDERAL COURTS AS A
LITIGATION COURSE.....*Arthur D. Hellman* 761
- FEDERAL JURISDICTION
ACCORDING TO
PROFESSOR FRANKFURTER.....*Evan Tsen Lee* 779
- TEACHING FEDERAL COURTS:
FROM BOTTOM LINE
TO MYSTERY.....*Laura E. Little* 797
- TEACHING FEDERAL COURTS
WHERE OUTCOMES
MATTER: A CURRICULAR
CONJECTURE.....*Richard A. Matasar* 807

TRIANGULATING STANDING *James E. Pfander* 829

WHY I DON'T TEACH FEDERAL
COURTS ANYMORE,
BUT MAYBE AM OR
WILL AGAIN.....*Georgene Vairo* 843

A LITIGATION-ORIENTED
APPROACH TO
TEACHING FEDERAL
COURTS *Michael L. Wells* 857

COMMENTS

FACTORING IN TRADITION:
THE PROPER ROLE
OF THE TRADITIONAL
GOVERNMENTAL
FUNCTION TEST *John J. Greffet, Jr.* 875

SHOOTING FOR THE MOON:
THE INNOCENCE OF THE
NO CHILD LEFT BEHIND
ACT'S ONE HUNDRED
PERCENT PROFICIENCY GOAL
AND ITS CONSEQUENCES*Matthew D. Knepper* 899

THE *ERIE* DOCTRINE &
MCDONNELL DOUGLAS
FRAMEWORK: MUCH ADO
ABOUT NOTHING OR AN
ISSUE WORTH ANALYZING? *Matthew M. Petersen* 927